



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,742 02/10/2004		Timur Gungor	LA0112 NP	1555	
23914	7590 12/07/2005		EXAMINER		
STEPHEN B. DAVIS BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY			STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE		
PATENT DEI	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P O BOX 400	0	1626			
PRINCETON	, NJ 08543-4000	DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			742	GUNGOR ET AL.	GUNGOR ET AL.			
			er	Art Unit				
			Stockton, Ph.D.	1626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on t	he cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF T CFR 1.136(a). In no e tition. y period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION Event, however, may a reply be tir will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	,						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=								
ت ارت	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
		ndoi Ex parto d	(day)0, 1000 0.5. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[		o) objected to by the	Examiner				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection		•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		•	. , ,	ED 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by				• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have be	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the				Stage			
	application from the International E				<b>3</b> ·			
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for		, ,,	ed.				
		•						
					,			
Attachment	x(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notica	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/s · No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08)	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTC	)-152)			

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-11 are pending in the application.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, 10 and 11, drawn to products, classified in class 548, subclass 182+.
- II. Claims 7-9, drawn to methods of use, classified in class 514, subclass 369+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be

used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the products as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Therefore, it would impose an undue burden on the Examiner and the Patent Office's resources to examine the instant application if unrestricted.

The above groups themselves are inclusive of patentably distinct subject matter. Accordingly, along with the election of one of the above groups, the following action is also taken.

Art Unit: 1626

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (e.g., Example number, page number and structural depiction) from whichever group is ultimately elected, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Upon the election of a single disclosed species (e.g. Example, page number and structural depiction), a scope of the elected invention that has been examined,

inclusive of the elected species, will be identified by the Examiner for examination.

Additionally, if Group II is elected, Applicant is also required to elect a single disclosed method of use {i.e., a method of treating osteoporosis}.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be

accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined

Art Unit: 1626

process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure

Art Unit: 1626

to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1626

Page 9

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600

December 5, 2005